## DRAFT BLACKTOWN LEP (FORMER ASHLAR GOLF COURSE) 2012 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) AND DEEMED SEPPS ANALYSIS

## SEPPS

| SEPP Title   | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal   |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Development Standards   | Y                     | Consistent. The Standard Instrument clause 4.6 will supersede the SEPP.  |
| 4.Development Without<br>Consent and Miscellaneous<br>Exempt and Complying<br>Development. | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or hinder applications of this SEPP.  |
| 6.Number of Storeys in a<br>Building   | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will use the Standard Instrument definitions to control building heights.  |
| 14.Coastal Wetlands  | N/A                   | Not applicable   |
| 15.Rural Landsharing<br>Communities  | N/A                   | Not applicable   |
| 19.Bushland in Urban<br>Areas  | N/A                   | The SEPP seeks to protect and preserve<br>areas of urban bushland. Clause 10 of the<br>SEPP sets out matters which must be<br>considered when preparing draft Local<br>Environmental Plans, including<br>consideration of the general provisions<br>and for priority to be given to retaining<br>bushland.<br>The site has been substantially modified<br>due it the existing use and the understorey<br>vegetation has been cleared. Clusters of<br>mature trees remain, supplemented by<br>more recent introduced species, most<br>notably at the site's eastern boundary<br>adjacent to the rail line and in the central<br>portion of the site. The proposed site<br>layout has been designed to retain the<br>significant trees that are in good health,<br>while managing the hydrological issues<br>that need to be addressed.<br>The provisions of SEPP19 would apply to<br>future development applications, providing<br>additional protection to bushland areas<br>retained within the site. |

| SEPP Title   | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal  |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| 21. Caravan Parks  | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 22. Shops and Commercial<br>Premises                       | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will be consistent<br>with, and not contain provisions that will<br>contradict or would hinder the application<br>of this SEPP.   |
| 26. Littoral rainforests                                   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 29.Western Sydney<br>Recreation Area                       | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 30.Intensive Agriculture                                   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 32.Urban Consolidation<br>(Redevelopment of<br>Urban Land) | Y                     | Each council (and the Minister) must<br>consider whether urban land is no longer<br>needed or used for the purposes for which<br>it is currently zoned or used, whether it is<br>suitable for redevelopment for multi-unit<br>housing and related development in<br>accordance with the aims and objectives<br>of this Policy and whether action should be<br>taken to make the land available for such<br>redevelopment. When preparing<br>environmental planning instruments<br>Councils must implement the aims and<br>objectives of this Policy to the fullest extent<br>practicable.<br>The proposal is considered to be<br>consistent with the aims and objectives of<br>SEPP 32. The site is located within a well<br>established residential area and is<br>considered to be appropriate for residential<br>redevelopment. The site includes a series<br>of public open spaces that will provide for<br>increased public recreation opportunities<br>and improved connections to, from and<br>through the site. |
| 33.Hazardous and<br>Offensive Development                  | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 36.Manufactured Home<br>Estates                            | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 39. Spit Island Bird Habitat                               | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 41.Casino Entertainment<br>Complex                         |                       | Not applicable  |
| 44.Koala Habitat Protection                                | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 47.Moore Park<br>Showground                                | N/A                   | Not applicable  |

| SEPP Title   | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal  |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| 50.Canal Estate<br>Development   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 52.Farm Dams and Other<br>Works in Land and Water<br>Management Plan Areas | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 55.Remediation of Land   | Y                     | The potential for site contamination arising<br>from the previous site use has been<br>assessed in detail by DLA Environmental.<br>This analysis has concluded that the<br>potential contaminants can be managed<br>so that the site is suitable for the proposed<br>residential use.   |
| 59. Central Western<br>Sydney Regional Open<br>Space and Residential       | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 60. Exempt and Complying   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Development  |                       |   |
| 62.Sustainable Aquaculture   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 64.Advertising and Signage   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 65.Design Quality of<br>Residential Flat<br>Development                    | Υ                     | SEPP 65 provides a statutory framework<br>to guide the design quality of residential<br>flat developments.<br>The development concept has been<br>designed to facilitate future detailed<br>building design in accordance with SEPP<br>65 and the accompanying Residential Flat<br>Design Code. Residential apartments are<br>generally located away from the residential<br>interfaces and where apartments are<br>proposed in closer proximity to existing<br>dwellings, the separation distances and<br>other amenity controls will guide the<br>appropriate siting and design of the future<br>buildings. |
| 70. Affordable Housing<br>Revised Schemes                                  | Y                     | If a requirement for affordable housing is<br>introduced in the Planning Proposal, the<br>relevant provisions will be consistent with<br>this SEPP.   |
| 71. Coastal Protection   | N/A                   | Not applicable.   |
| Affordable Rental Housing 2009   | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or would hinder application of this SEPP.  |

| SEPP Title   | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal  |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Building Sustainability<br>Index: BASIX 2004               | Y                     | The BASIX SEPP requires residential development to achieve mandated levels of energy and water efficiency.<br>The envisaged development concept has been designed with building massing and orientation to facilitate future BASIX compliance, which will be documented at the development application stage.   |
| Exempt and Complying<br>Development Codes<br>2008          | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or would hinder the application of the SEPP.   |
| Housing for Seniors or<br>People with a Disability<br>2004 | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will be consistent with the SEPP.   |
| Infrastructure 2007  | N/A                   | <ul> <li>The Infrastructure SEPP aims to facilitate the efficient delivery of infrastructure across the State. The following matters are relevant to the proposal:</li> <li>The proposed development will require existing utility services to be upgraded and/or augmented to enable the future residential population to be accommodated. These works must be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the SEPP.</li> <li>Richmond Road is a classified road so the proposed entry requires agreement from the Roads and Maritime Services under the SEPP. Several meetings have been held between the proponent, the RMS and Blacktown City Council to resolve the most appropriate location for this access to be provided. A number of locations were considered and it was resolved that the most appropriate solution (having regard to traffic safety and availability of land for purchase) is to provide a new signalised intersection opposite Kent Street. Australand has purchased the KFC restaurant site to facilitate the proposed access.</li> <li>Any future works near or within the rail corridor will need to be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of Railcorp, and considered with future development applications for the site.</li> </ul> |

\_

| SEPP Title   | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal  |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Kosciuszko National<br>Park-Alpine Resorts<br>2007                   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Kurnell Peninsula 1989   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Major Development<br>2005  | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Mining, Petroleum<br>Production and<br>Extractive Industries<br>2007 | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Penrith Lakes Scheme 1989  | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Rural Lands 2008   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Transitional Provisions 2011   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| State and Regional<br>Development 2011                               | N/A                   | The site is not identified as a state or regional significant under the SEPP. |
| Sydney Drinking Water<br>Catchment 2011                              | Y                     | Consistent  |
| Sydney Region Growth<br>Centres 2006                                 | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Temporary Structures 2007  | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will be consistent with the SEPP.                       |
| Urban Renewal 2010   | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Western Sydney<br>Employment Area 2009                               | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Western Sydney<br>Parklands 2009                                     | N/A                   | Not applicable  |

\_\_\_\_

## DEEMED SEPPS

| DEEMED SEPP                                 | Consistency<br>Y/N/NA | Consistency of Planning Proposal                                |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| 5. Chatswood Town Centre                    | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 8. Central Coast Plateau<br>Areas           | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 9. Extractive Industry                      | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| (No 2-1995)                                 |                       |   |
| 16. Walsh Bay                               | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 19. Rouse Hill<br>Development Area          | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 20. Hawkesbury-Nepean<br>River (No. 201997) | Y                     | The Planning Proposal will be consistent with this deemed SEPP. |
| 24. Homebush Bay Area                       | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 25. Orchard Hills                           | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 26. City West                               | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 28. Parramatta                              | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 30 St Marys                                 | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| 33. Cooks Cove                              | N/A                   | Not applicable  |
| Sydney Harbour<br>Catchment 2005            | N/A                   | Not applicable  |